

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,451	01/02/2002	Tae-myun Kim	1293.1276	6584
21171	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & H	ALSEY LLP		ONEILL, M	ICHAEL W
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3713	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/032,451	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael O'Neill	3713		

	Michael O'Neill	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F).	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monther patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Eq. (). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	Illowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Michael O'Neilt	MY
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3713	\

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended language was not earlier presented for examination on its merits. Said language would require a new determination of obviousness and further search of prior art and further consideration of prior art vis-a-vis the newly presented claim language.